UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

EMPLOYBRIDGE, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, and EMPLOYMENT SOLUTIONS MANAGEMENT, INC., a Georgia Corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIVEN ROCK STAFFING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, LARRY SHAUN SHEPHERD, an individual, CATHERINE OLINGER, an individual, TERRY MILLER, and individual, TIMOTHY JACQUEZ, an individual, and Does 1 through 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 16-833-WJ/KK

SUPPLEMENTAL
DECLARATION OF FRAN
SCOTT IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF FRAN SCOTT

- I, Fran Scott, under penalty of perjury, do hereby declare, attest, and affirm as follows:
- I give this Declaration of my own free will. I am competent to give this Declaration, and it is based on my own personal knowledge. I am over 18 years old.
- I provide this supplemental Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order in addition to the prior Declaration that I submitted executed July 15, 2016.
- In the month that has transpired since I submitted my prior Declaration, I have learned
 that the Defendants in this lawsuit continue to solicit EmployBridge's clients and
 temporary workers.
- 4. For example, on Friday, July 29, a ProDrivers' temporary employee named Robert Gomez resigned his employment with EmployBridge. ProDrivers had placed Mr.

Gomez with Industrial Chemical, a longstanding ProDrivers client with whom both Mr. Miller and Mr. Jacquez interacted while employed by ProDrivers. The following Monday, August 1, Industrial Chemical canceled its business with ProDrivers.

Moreover, the next day, I received a Former Employer Verification from RivenRock (apparently sent by Catherine Olinger) indicating that RivenRock had hired Mr. Gomez. I believe that Mr. Gomez is now working for the same client (Industrial Chemical) through Riven Rock.

- 5. A true and accurate copy of the Former Employer Verification form faxed by RivenRock is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Notably, Riven Rock's Former Employer Verification form is virtually identical to the form used by ProDrivers. The only difference that I can see is the use of Riven Rock's logo, instead of ProDrivers' logo. In fact, ProDrivers uses a numerical system to keep track of its forms, and Riven Rock even retained the same form number ("D-3") that ProDrivers uses to identify the Former Employer Verification form.
- 6. On or about August 4'2016, I made an in person customer service call to RAC

 Transport, a ProDrivers client. I met with Gareth Floyd, Terminal Manager. At that
 time he told me Terry Miller and Amber Fluitt had been by to introduce Riven Rock and
 their services. He said he was using them for dock workers, warehouse workers, and
 administrative staff because they promised bilingual employees. He also said that on
 August 1 Riven Rock's driving service would be available and he preferred to use one
 service for all of his staffing. He also told me they quoted 50 cents less per hour than our
 current rate.

- 7. Moreover, as recently as last week, Alan Scarf, a Regional Manager for ProDrivers' client Creamland Dairy, called me and told me that Mr. Miller and former EmployBridge employee Amber Fluitt had made a sales call on behalf of RivenRock. According to Mr. Scarf, Mr. Miller and Ms. Fluitt solicited him for business and undercut ProDriver's price by forty cents per hours on placement of a temporary driver.
- 8. I have reviewed the Declarations submitted by Mr. Jacquez and Mr. Miller in opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order. Those declarations contain numerous false or inaccurate statements, including, but not limited, to the statements discussed below.
- 9. For example, in Paragraphs 3 and 11, Mr. Miller claims that he never met Shaun Shepherd before he interviewed with Riven Rock. I know that statement is false because I was present at a meeting with Mr. Miller and Mr. Shepherd in or around October of 2015 when both of them were employed by EmployBridge. On that occasion, Mr. Shepherd and a female sales representative from EmployBridge's Select Staffing division stopped by the ProDrivers branch office in Albuquerque to introduce themselves to us. As part of that visit, Mr. Miller and I met in the front lobby with Mr. Shepherd and the other visitor from Select Staffing and we had a lengthy discussion about ways to cross-sell the ProDrivers and Select Staffing brands.
- 10. Likewise, in Paragraphs 4, 11, and 12, Mr. Jacquez claims that he provided ProDrivers with two weeks' notice at the time of his resignation, that he never told anyone that he had spoken with a lawyer for Riven Rock, and that he had never told anyone that he was going to work for Riven Rock. All of these statements are false. When Mr. Jacquez resigned, he specifically told me that he was going to work for Riven Rock and that he

knew Mr. Miller was already employed there. He also said that the day he resigned would be his last day because "they" (i.e., Riven Rock) wanted him to start the following Monday. In addition, he told me that the previous Sunday a lawyer and an investor for Riven Rock had met with him in person and had shown him the company's bank accounts and that, according to Mr. Jaquez, "they have half a billion dollars."

- 11. The statements in both declarations about alleged unethical conduct are utterly false. I have never forged a document or provided false information to a client, and I have never slandered Mr. Jacquez or anyone else at Riven Rock.
- 12. Mr. Miller's and Mr. Jacquez's statements that they were not provided with access to EmployBridge's confidential information are also false. Both Mr. Miller and Mr. Jacquez had access to a large amount of sensitive business information regarding ProDrivers' clients, employees, pricing, margin, and financial performance. While not all-inclusive, such information included, among other documents, Weekly Business Summary report (which provided financial metrics from the prior week), 13 Week Reports (which reflected financial performance over a 13-week period), customer and prospect contact information, pricing and margin information related to specific accounts, and temporary employee contact information.
- 13. Furthermore, I have reviewed the emails identified on Exhibit C to the Declaration of James Vaughn, which I understand are emails that Mr. Jacquez forwarded to his personal Yahoo email account, timothy_jacques@yahoo.com, in the weeks before the resignation of his employment with EmployBridge. Many of these emails contain EmployBridge's confidential and/or proprietary information, and there was no legitimate business reason for Mr. Jacquez to forward these emails to his personal email account.

- 14. For example, an email that Mr. Jacquez sent to himself on June 16, 2016 includes an attachment with the filename "Fleetseek Report ABQ.xlsx." This document is a document that ProDrivers purchased from a commercial vendor that provides contact names and telephone numbers of trucking companies in the Albuquerque area.
 ProDrivers supplied access to this commercial program to Mr. Jacquez so that he could prospect new business on behalf of ProDrivers. By sending this report to his personal email account, Mr. Jacquez is now in a position to prospect on behalf of RivenRock using information, for free, that ProDrivers paid for.
- 15. Similarly, an email that Mr. Jacquez sent to himself June 14, 2016 is entitled "Companies I have visited." This is a list that Mr. Jacquez prepared and sent to me listing names and contact information of the clients and prospects that he called on for EmployBridge. By sending this email to himself, Mr. Jacquez is in a position to call on all the accounts whom he previously solicited on behalf of EmployBridge using information that he created for EmployBridge, on company time, while in EmployBridge's employment.
- 16. Moreover, several of the emails that Mr. Jacquez sent to himself contain attachments entitled "Copy of Dispatch." These documents are lists of every single ProDrivers driver who were dispatched by ProDrivers during the prior week and the name of the ProDrivers client for whom the drivers worked. By sending these emails to himself, Mr. Jacquez is in a position to contact drivers who are or were employed by ProDrivers and solicit them for placement at ProDrivers' clients.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this /5# day of August, 2016.

Casse 1: 1166 cov-000383333 WWW IPKKK | IDoorcumeentt 12075-64 | Filleett 0034/1274/1167 | Pragge 66 off 99

Fran Scott

6

28478047v 3

EXHIBIT A

Casse 1: 116 cov 000183333 W/1) K/K | Domainmentt 1205 64 | Filter 1924/2/1167 | Pagge 8 paff 9 1

Fax Transmission

Attention to:-

Name: 5053413535@onlinefaxes.com

Company:

Date: 2016-08-02 Time: 02:58:43 P From:-

Name: Catherine Olinger Company: Riven Rock Staffing

Telephone: Pages: 2

RE: Emailing - CCF08022016_00007.pdf

Comments/Notes:

Former Employer Verification Form D-3



	Sent to the attention of Forn Scott				
:: <u>505 - 7<i>3</i>8 <i>-0</i>340</u>	Phone: <>5.34/-3500 Fex 50	15-341-3535			
ny questions please contact us at:	1st Attempt 1 2nd Attempt 3rd Atter	mpt			
05) 336-4222	Certified Letter Mailed/DOT Notified				
tion 1: Previous Employee Information :	and Release				
me: Robert Games Social Security # 525-79-5073					
ereby authorize the Prophylics					
elease the below requested information to River	Rock Transportation for the purposes of Investigation and qual	lifying me to drive			
rier Safety Regulations 49 CFR Parts 40, 382 &	ment drug test results. You are now required by the U.S. DOT at 391 to furnish this information. You are hereby released from	any and all liability			
t may result from furninshing such information.	Your quick response to this request will be greatly appreciated.	,			
mature: It ferr	Date: 7/28/16				
ction 2: Previous Employee Work Histor					
	•	61			
tes of Employment: FromTo	Additional dates if employee worked multiple tin	nes for employer			
d the employee drive a motor vehicle for you? Yes					
			cidents: Please complete the following for application date shown above. If none please	r any accidents that the applicant was involved in the last ase check this box \Box	3 years prior to
			Date Location 1	njuries? Hazmat Spill? Vehicles towed? P	reventable?
					
ction 4: Drug and Alcohol Information p		III Ves II No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance	testing program with your company?	□ Yes □ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0.	testing program with your company? .04 or higher?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or su	testing program with your company?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or su s this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test?	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bstituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or sus this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test?	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or su is this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test? If this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and alcohol.	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or su s this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test? If this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and alcoholabilitation program in your employ, including rementation back with this form.	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed eturn-to-duty and follow-up tests? If yes, please send	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or sust this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test? If this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and alcohol abilitation program in your employ, including a numentation back with this form. If a driver who successfully completed a SAP's a driver subsequently have an alcohol test result	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or su is this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test? If this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and alcohol abilitation program in your employ, including a numentation back with this form. If a driver who successfully completed a SAP's a driver subsequently have an alcohol test result be tested?	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed eturn-to-duty and follow-up tests? If yes, please send ehabilitation referral and remained in your employ, did	☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or su is this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test? If this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and alcohol abilitation program in your employ, including a rumentation back with this form. If a driver who successfully completed a SAP's a driver subsequently have an alcohol test result be tested? ase include any required DOT drug & Alcohol	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed eturn-to-duty and follow-up tests? If yes, please send ehabilitation referral and remained in your employ, did of 0.04 or greater, a verified positive drug test, or refuse testing information obtained from prior employers in the last the	☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or suits this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test? If this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and nicohol abilitation program in your employ, including a numeration back with this form. If a driver who successfully completed a SAP's a driver subsequently have an alcohol test result be tested? ase include any required DOT drug & Alcohol and name of person completing form	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bistituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed eturn-to-duty and follow-up tests? If yes, please send ehabilitation referral and remained in your employ, did of 0.04 or greater, a verified positive drug test, or refuse testing information obtained from prior employers in the last the	☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or substance tested positive or adulterated or substance test? In this person refused to submit a post accident, is controlled substance test? In this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and nicohol abilitation program in your employ, including rementation back with this form. In driver who successfully completed a SAP's redriver subsequently have an alcohol test result be tested? In the person completing the person completing form	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed eturn-to-duty and follow-up tests? If yes, please send ehabilitation referral and remained in your employ, did of 0.04 or greater, a verified positive drug test, or refuse testing information obtained from prior employers in the last the	☐ Yes ☐ No			
is this applicant in a DOT controlled substance is this person had alcohol tests with a result of 0 s this person tested positive or adulterated or suits this person refused to submit a post accident, a controlled substance test? If this person committed other violations of DOT his person has violated a DOT drug and nicohol abilitation program in your employ, including a numeration back with this form. If a driver who successfully completed a SAP's a driver subsequently have an alcohol test result be tested? The substance of person completing form a suppany	testing program with your company? .04 or higher? bestituted a test specimen for controlled substance? random, reasonable suspicion, or follow up alcohol I agency drug and alcohol testing? I regulation, did this person complete a SAP-prescribed eturn-to-duty and follow-up tests? If yes, please send ehabilitation referral and remained in your employ, did of 0.04 or greater, a verified positive drug test, or refuse testing information obtained from prior employers in the last the Phone	☐ Yes ☐ No			